Exercise with Social Robots: Companion or Coach?
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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we investigate the roles that social robots can take in physical exercise with human partners. In related work, robots or virtual intelligent agents take the role of coach or instructor whereas in others they are used as motivational aids. These are two “paradigms”, so to speak, within the small but growing area of robots for social exercise. We designed an online questionnaire to test whether the preferred role in which people want to see robots would be the one or the other. The questionnaire asks people to imagine working out with a robot and then presents the results of three questionnaires: (1) CART-Q which is used for judging athlete-coach relationships, (2) the mind perception questionnaire and (3) the system-usability scale (SUS). We present the methodology, some preliminary results as well as our intended future work on personal robots for coaching.
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1 INTRODUCTION
There is a growing amount of people who are in need of movement due to a largely sedentary lifestyle. Figures on this indicate that this leads to problems both at a young age and in later stages in life [1]. Leading consequences are heart-disease and back-problems.

Modern technology has been adopted as an approach toward tackling this problem. The opportunities offered by robots can contribute to confronting this social need also includes older technological aid offered by fitness videos, but also newer technology such a video games [5] and intelligent virtual agents (IVA)[6]. Exercise videos have been around since the early 1980s and were largely popularized by a pioneering video of the genre which featured the actress Jane Fonda [17]; this media assisted form of physical exercise tool can, therefore, be regarded as the oldest type of exercise aid in the list above. One obvious drawback is the limited amount of content that such videos provide. Television and video, in comparison to modern artificial intelligence enhanced multimedia, can also be regarded as “passive companions” whereas video games, IVAs and social robots can be considered “active companions”. These offer interaction in addition to action in this application field1. It is for these two reasons extensible content and interactivity which makes robots an interesting option for future solutions to the perils of sedentary lifestyles. It is the latter component that we will focus on in the following.

There is a small but growing body of literature on social and personal robots used for physical exercise and rehabilitation (see 2.1). The promise is that robots can serve as tools for exercise in contexts where the social environment in form of another person or a group cannot be provided. Having another person present can have positive effects such as more positive affective states during exercise [11], fewer injuries, reduced stress levels [29], increased performance [16], or simply meeting one’s goals [30]. Thus, in lieu of a human partner, technology could, potentially, help to counter the adverse effects of too little exercise or incorrect exercise on one’s own.

Prior research on dyads of human coaches and athletes has shown that a positive perception of a relationship is correlated with the pairs own individual personality traits [22]. Significant differences between extroversion and openness lead to a lower i.e. less trusting and less close, perception of the dyadic relationship than coach-athlete pairings possessing a high concordance of these traits. Pairings that had a partner high in agreeableness and conscientiousness reported having more a favorable relationship with their counterpart.

These findings can function as potential markers for designing HRI strategies within the context of coaching and having robots in an advisory position. Using these markers it is possible to assume that robots should be designed with high agreeableness and high conscientiousness in mind, while adopting a more personalized approach when considering how to act, by attempting to match their subjects extroversion and openness levels. However, given that robots are viewed as having less agency there may exist some discrepancies between how the ideal robot coach is expected to act and how an ideal human coach may be expected to act.

The question is what type of role artificial partners, particularly social robots, should take in the training regiment of future users.

1The contrast between television as a “passive companion” as opposed to social robots as “active companions” was discussed by Dr Tomotaka Takahashi in his 2011 keynote at the International Conference of Social Robotics (ICSR). http://icsoro.org/icsr2011/
We aim to investigate whether a coach or a companion is the preferred role. To this end, we employ three measurement instruments. The first questionnaire which we incorporated into our overall questionnaire is the CART-Q [23] questionnaire which measures the quality of a relationship between an athlete and a coach. The second instrument which we deploy is a version of the mind perception questionnaire. This has previously been used in other studies in human-robot interaction (HRI) [18]. We employ a shorter version of the instrument as used in Gray et al. [19]. The second instrument which we incorporated into our overall questionnaire is a shorter version of the instrument as used in Gray et al. [19].

In the next section, followed by the discussion of these. Finally, we will conclude with a statement about social robots as fitness companions versus fitness coaches.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Robots and IVAs in Physical Exercise Contexts

There are three main lines to be discerned, so far: (1) monitoring the exercise [28] (2) delivering coaching during exercise [27] or (3) motivating during exercise [31], with the latter being a component of the concept of having full-blown robot fitness companions [32]. In terms of “active companions” and making full use of the embodiment offered by robot companions [9], we see especially (2) and (3) as particularly promising for the future of technological aids for exercise.

In the following, we will ask the question of whether the preferred role of a social robot in exercise contexts should be that of a fitness companion or a fitness coach. For this purpose, we conducted a study using an online questionnaire. We discuss the related work, both in relation to the premise of using robots and IVAs in exercise scenarios and our methodology in the following section. After that, we explain our methods with particular a focus on the structure of the questionnaire. Our results will be presented in the next section, followed by the discussion of these. Finally, we will conclude with a statement about social robots as fitness companions versus fitness coaches.

2.2 Measuring User Preferences

We aim to investigate whether a coach or a companion is the preferred role. To this end, we employ three measurement instruments.

The second instrument which we deploy is a version of the mind perception questionnaire. This has previously been used in studies relating to the “uncanny valley” effect [20], motivational states in the context of human-robot interaction (HRI) [12], friendliness in dialogues with intelligent virtual agents [21], or studies on how adolescence perceive androids [13].

3 METHODOLOGY

We constructed an online questionnaire using LimeSurvey. As the questionnaire is supposed to be stand-alone and no direct contact with a robot could be provided to the respondents, we tried to paint as vividly as possible a picture of our NICO robot [24] as a partner in exercise contexts. After that, a questionnaire comprised of three instruments and a number of custom questions was administered.

3.1 NICO - the Neuro Inspired Companion

NICO, the Neuro Inspired Companion [24], is a robotic research platform for multimodal human-robot interaction and embodied neuro-cognitive models. NICO features a flexible, modular open source design, that can be adapted to novel research questions and experimental setups.

NICO stands about 1 meter tall and weighs about 7 kilograms; it falls into the category of child-sized robots, the size was carefully chosen to enable a safe, non-threatening, and approachable design while also enabling the robot to interact with a complex domestic environment. Its anthropomorphic design features 30 degrees of freedom (DoF) which are distributed over the body in the following way: The head is articulated with two DoFs to perform pitch and yaw motions. Each arm is articulated by 6 DoFs, three in the shoulder to approximate a ball-joint, one in the elbow and two in the wrist for turning and bending motions. Two degrees of freedom close the thumb and the index fingers of the three-fingered Seed Robotics SR-DH4D articulated hands. Each leg is articulated

Mind perception has previously been used in studies relating to the “uncanny valley” effect [20], motivational states in the context of human-robot interaction (HRI) [12], friendliness in dialogues with intelligent virtual agents [21], or studies on how adolescence perceive androids [13].

3.1 NICO - the Neuro Inspired Companion

NICO, the Neuro Inspired Companion [24], is a robotic research platform for multimodal human-robot interaction and embodied neuro-cognitive models. NICO features a flexible, modular open source design, that can be adapted to novel research questions and experimental setups.

NICO stands about 1 meter tall and weighs about 7 kilograms; it falls into the category of child-sized robots, the size was carefully chosen to enable a safe, non-threatening, and approachable design while also enabling the robot to interact with a complex domestic environment. Its anthropomorphic design features 30 degrees of freedom (DoF) which are distributed over the body in the following way: The head is articulated with two DoFs to perform pitch and yaw motions. Each arm is articulated by 6 DoFs, three in the shoulder to approximate a ball-joint, one in the elbow and two in the wrist for turning and bending motions. Two degrees of freedom close and open the thumb and the index fingers of the three-fingered Seed Robotics SR-DH4D articulated hands. Each leg is articulated

---

Visit http://nico.knowledge-technology.info for further information and video material.

http://www.seedrobotics.com/
The descriptive text was based on the description of the KISMET.

NICO’s approachable companion role is further underlined by the robot’s abilities to both display and recognize facial expressions: Three freely programmable LED arrays are integrated into NICO’s head behind the eye and mouth areas. These displays can be used to display stylized facial expressions, that were evaluated in a human-robot interaction study to be well recognizable [8]. In a related study participants evaluated NICO using the Godspeed questionnaire [2], the ability of NICO to display facial expression increased the subjective ratings of NICO by the users in all five categories of anthropomorphism, animacy, likability, perceived intelligence and perceived safety [24]. Furthermore, neuro-cognitive models were developed that utilize NICO’s embedded visual sensors to learn to recognize general and user-specific emotion expressions [8].

3.2 The Context Provided

The questionnaire is introduced on a landing page. Here, we described our goal of investigating robots deployed in physical exercise settings. On the following page, we introduced our NICO robot via a short text and a video of NICO in interaction with a person. Said page, was particularly aimed at facilitating the respondents’ imagination with respect to the interactive component. We used a video created earlier for a study focused on social interaction and personal robotics [7, 26]. We extracted a short fifteen-second clip from this video which only showed the robot and user introducing themselves to each other and engaging in social dialogue. After that participants were introduced to the scenario. We prepared a number of visual stimuli in the form of pictures of the NICO robot doing weight lifting exercises. These were biceps curls, one-arm triceps extensions, dumbbell shoulder presses, and seated lateral raises. To ensure that the participants actually paid attention to these stimuli and could vividly imagine the robot performing the exercises, we asked questions which the participants had to answer on each of these exercises. These served no greater purpose with respect to the research question but were considered useful within the context of imagining doing physical exercises with a robot.

Finally, we included the critical manipulation with respect to the manipulation. This was a paragraph describing NICO’s role within the scenario, followed by a picture of the NICO robot, again performing one of the exercises (a larger image of the seated lateral raise). The descriptive text was based on the description of the KISMET robot used by Gray, Gray and Wegner [18] in their study of mind perception.

NICO is part of a new class of “sociable” robots that can engage people in natural interaction. To do this, NICO perceives a variety of natural social signals from sound and sight and delivers his own signals back to the human partner through gaze direction, facial expression, body posture, and natural speech.

The critical manipulation was administered in the form of two sentences following this description which distinguished between a fitness companion and a fitness coach. Below are the two statements:

- In the current scenario, NICO will be used as a fitness companion. He reminds users of their intended exercise schedule and does the exercises together with them.
- In the current scenario, NICO will be used as a fitness coach. He reminds users of their intended exercise schedule, shows them exercises to copy and corrects mistakes in their movements.

An example of how the NICO may act in either of these roles can be seen in Figure 2, where the NICO performs an exercise and gives an impression of strain at certain points in the exercise. After the aforementioned statements, the questionnaire presented four sets of questions which will be discussed below. While N = 16 is to be considered too small for firm statements, a number of HRI studies do indeed work with small sample sizes as Baxter et al. [3] which would probably be viewed with skepticism in other disciplines.

4 PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In the following, we present our results with respect to the questionnaire. We only managed to recruit 16 participants (N = 16). Therefore, we treat these results as indicative and preliminary. While N = 16 is to be considered too small for firm statements, a number of HRI studies do indeed work with small sample sizes as Baxter et al. [3]. We make no attempt at performing null-hypothesis testing or inferential statistics but show the descriptive statistics as recommended by some current sources [3, 33]. We plan to increase the sample size in future work. The results here are treated as merely indicative.

Our questionnaire was designed to look at three issues, namely (1) the robot as a “character” in terms of an entity which can display features of having a mind, (2) the robot as a coach versus the robot as a companion during training, and (3) the robot as a machine. The results will be discussed below. We see user preferences here not as absolute but as an interesting means of seeing which version might be preferred for which context.

4.1 Mind Perception

The values for agency in the mind perception questionnaire are slightly higher in the coach condition than in the companion condition. The coach condition has a maximum value of 7 and a minimum value of 1 while the companion condition shows the same maximum (7) or minimum values (1). The mean of the coach condition is M = 5.33 (SD = 2.00) and the mean of the companion condition is M = 4.29 (SD = 2.45). The standard deviation suggests that there is more variation in the values for the companion condition. The mean values overall suggest that, within the population on which our system was tested, the coach condition was rated slightly more usable than the neutral condition.

The values for experience in the mind perception questionnaire are slightly higher in the coach condition than in the companion condition. The coach condition has a maximum value of 5 and a minimum value of 1 while the companion condition has a maximum value of 6 and a minimum value of 1. The mean of the coach condition...
condition is $M = 2.22$ ($SD = 1.45$) and the mean of the companion condition is $M = 1.62$ ($SD = 1.57$). The mean values overall suggest that, within the population on which our system was tested, the coach condition was rated slightly more usable than the neutral condition.

Overall, the participants attributed more “mind”, as a composite construct of agency and experience to the coach condition.

### 4.2 CART-Q

The CART-Q questionnaire was used in order to see whether people would imagine their relationship with NICO as a coach or fitness companion to be effective. The CART-Q is usually used to assess relationships between athletes and coaches. While the questions are formulated differently for the two cases, the content of the questions is the same. Therefore, we can report these two question sets here, in an abbreviated but succinct manner by contrasting the means of the two question sets. The values for the CART-Q are slightly higher in the coach condition than in the companion condition. The coach condition has a maximum value of 7 and a minimum value of 1 while the companion condition shows the same maximum (7) or minimum values (1). The mean of the coach condition is $M = 4.17$ ($SD = 1.50$) and the mean of the companion condition is $M = 3.92$ ($SD = 1.89$). The standard deviation suggests that there is more variation in the values for the companion condition. The mean values overall suggest that, within the population on which our system was tested, the coach condition was rated slightly more usable than the neutral condition.

### 5 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

The results of this pilot-like study show that within the population tested ($N = 16$) the coach condition was rated slightly higher with respect to all three measures of “displaying a mind”, being a good sports partner and in terms of being more usable. This is an interesting result that can be used in future work.

The limitations of the current work are clearly related to the small sample size. The results cannot be generalized to a larger population. However, this was a first test of a composite questionnaire which included a measure from social psychology, an instrument from exercise science and a commonly used indicator of usability.

In future work, we intend on incorporating both cooperative and adversarial personality traits into a robot and measuring the influence this has on users performing exercises in real-world scenarios. The goal of cooperative behaviors exhibited by the robot would be to support and motivate the user while more adversarial traits would aim to inspire and challenge the user. This would follow in the line of work suggested by Lara et al. [25] of further exploring the possibilities presented by the presence of a robot. By examining how the robot adopting different personas affect user performance and experience of doing various exercises we hope to gain a better understanding of how robots can have a positive and meaningful role in cooperative tasks.

### 6 CONCLUSION

We tested the effects of asking people to imagine exercising with a robot. Within the existing work, we identified technology which acts as an instructional tool versus such technologies being deployed as motivational aids. Therefore, the scenario we asked people to imagine was a robot either being fitness companion or fitness
coach. In this study, our NICO robot was preferred by the participants when they imagined him being a coach. We tested how much mind people attribute to NICO in these two scenarios, how they see their relationship to the imagined exercise partner and how usable they would think the system would be. In conclusion, the coach system was rated higher overall with respect to all three measures as compared to the fitness companion. However, these results are merely indicative and cannot be generalised beyond the small population examined. In future, we plan to use the same methodology in a laboratory study. For this purpose, we will build a dialogue system of the NICO robot that is able to portray the two personas.
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